

# Lecture 25: The Sparsest Cut Problem (1)

We saw the following relaxation of the sparsest cut problem (in Lect. 24 notes):

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{e \in E} x_e \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{i, j \in V} d_x(i, j) \geq 1 \\ & x_e \geq 0 \text{ for all } e \in E, \end{aligned}$$

where  $d_x(i, j)$  is the shortest path distance between  $i$  and  $j$ , using  $x_e$  as length of edge  $\forall e \in E$ .

Let us introduce new variables  $y_{ij}$  for all  $i, j \in V$  along with the constraints  $y_{ij} \leq x_{e_1} + x_{e_2} + \dots + x_{e_k}$  for all possible simple paths  $e_1 - e_2 - \dots - e_k$  between  $i$  and  $j$ . We also have the constraint  $\sum_{i, j \in V} y_{ij} \geq 1$ .

We also have the compact formulation (note that the above formulation has exponentially many constr.)  
 $y_{ij} \leq y_{ik} + y_{kj}$  where  $i, j, k \in V$  (please check this)  
and  $y_{ij} \leq x_{ij} \forall (i, j) \in E$ .

- Let  $x^*$  be an optimal solution of the above LP.
- Partition  $G$  into clusters such that
  - (i) each cluster has diameter (wrt edge lengths as given by  $x^*$ ) at most  $D = 1/4n^2$ ;
  - (ii) there are at most  $\alpha \sum_{e \in E} x_e^*$  intercluster edges with  $\alpha = \frac{4 \ln n}{D}$ .

Lemma 1. Either there is a cluster  $C$  with  $|C| \geq \frac{2n}{3}$  or we can efficiently find  $S \subseteq V$  s.t.  $\rho(S) = O(\log n)$ .  $\sum_{e \in E} x_e^* \leq O(\log n) \cdot \rho(G)$ . ②

Proof. Suppose there is no cluster of size  $\geq \frac{2n}{3}$ . Order the clusters by increasing size and add clusters in this order to  $S$  until  $|S| \geq n/3$ . Then it must be the case that  $|V-S| \geq n/3$  as well. So we have:

$$\rho(S) = \frac{|\delta(S)|}{|S| \cdot |V-S|} \leq \frac{\alpha \cdot \sum_{e \in E} x_e^*}{n^2/g} \quad (\text{since } |\delta(S)| \leq \text{no. of intercluster edges})$$

$$\leq \frac{4n^2 \cdot 4 \ln n \cdot \rho(G)}{n^2/g} = O(\log n) \cdot \rho(G)$$

So when there is no cluster of size  $\geq 2n/3$ , we have found an  $O(\log n)$ -approximation for sparsest cut. What about the case when there is such a cluster?

Lemma 2. If there exists a cluster  $C$  such that  $|C| \geq 2n/3$  and with diameter  $\leq 1/4n^2$ , then we can efficiently find  $S$  s.t.  $\rho(S) \leq 6 \cdot \sum_{e \in E} x_e^*$ .

Proof. Let  $d(i, C) = \min_{j \in C} d_{x^*}(i, j)$ . Order the vertices as  $i_1, \dots, i_n$  in decreasing order of  $d(i, C)$ . Let  $S_k = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$  for  $k=1, 2, \dots, n-1$ .

(3)

claim.  $\sum_{i,j \in V} |d(i,C) - d(j,C)| \geq 1/6.$

Let us assume the above claim and finish the proof of the lemma. Then we'll prove this claim.

The intuition behind the claim is that since  $C$  has many vertices and a small diameter, for the constraint  $\sum_{i,j} d_{x^*}(i,j) \geq 1$  to hold, it has to be the case that the total of the difference between the distance to  $C$  for each pair of vertices must be large.

Assuming the above claim, we have:

$$\min_{1 \leq k \leq n-1} \rho(S_k) = \min_{1 \leq k \leq n-1} \frac{|\delta(S_k)|}{|S_k| \cdot |V - S_k|}$$

$$\leq \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-d(i_{k+1}, C) + d(i_k, C)) \cdot |\delta(S_k)|}{\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-d(i_{k+1}, C) + d(i_k, C)) \cdot |S_k| \cdot |V - S_k|}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{\substack{(i_l, i_h) \in E \\ 1 \leq l < h \leq n}} d(i_l, C) - d(i_h, C)}{\sum_{\substack{i_l, i_h \\ 1 \leq l < h \leq n}} d(i_l, C) - d(i_h, C)}$$

$$\leq \frac{\sum_{e \in E} x_e^*}{1/6} \rightarrow \text{(since } d(i_l, C) - d(i_h, C) \leq x_{(i_l, i_h)}^* \text{)} \rightarrow \text{due to the claim}$$

$$= 6 \sum_{e \in E} x_e^* \leq 6 \cdot f(G). \quad (4)$$

It remains to prove the claim. Pick some  $i' \in C$ .

For any  $i \in V$ , there is some  $j \in C$  such that  $d(i, C) = d(i, j)$ . So  $d(i, i') \leq d(i, j) + d(j, i') \leq d(i, C) + \frac{1}{4n^2}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } 1 &\leq \sum_{i, j} d_{x^*}(i, j) \leq \sum_{i, j} (d_{x^*}(i, i') + d_{x^*}(i', j)) \\ &= 2n \sum_{i \in V} d_{x^*}(i, i') \\ &\leq 2n \sum_{i \in V} \left( d(i, C) + \frac{1}{4n^2} \right) \\ &= 2n \sum_{i \in V} d(i, C) + \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore } \sum_{i \in V} d(i, C) = \sum_{i \notin C} d(i, C) \geq \frac{1}{4n}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \sum_{i, j} |d(i, C) - d(j, C)| &\geq \sum_{i \notin C, j \in C} d(i, C) \\ &= |C| \cdot \sum_{i \notin C} d(i, C) \geq \frac{2n}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{4n} = \frac{1}{6}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have an  $O(\log n)$ -approximation algorithm for the sparsest cut problem.

To improve this result, let us look at another relaxation of the sparsest cut problem.

$$\begin{aligned} \min \sum_{e \in E} x_e \\ \text{s.t. } \sum_{i, j} d_x(i, j) \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

$d_x$  is an  $l_2$ -squared metric.

We can write this as a vector program (next lecture), which can be solved as an SDP in polynomial time. We'll use the almost optimal soln. to this SDP to find a sparse cut.