

Lecture 4 :- Brief review of \mathbb{F}_{2^d} .

$\mathbb{F}_2[x]$: all polynomials with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_2 .

Irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$

A polynomial $p(x) \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$ of degree $d > 1$ is irreducible if there are no polynomials $g(x), h(x) \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$ degree strictly less than d s.t.

\Downarrow (just as in integers). $p(x) = g(x)h(x)$.

Implies :- If $p(x) \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$ is irreducible and $q(x) \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$ is such that $p(x)$ does not divide $q(x)$, then there exists $r(x) \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$ s.t.

$$q(x) \cdot r(x) = 1 + p(x)s(x)$$

for some $s(x) \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$

So we define

$\mathbb{F}_{2^d} := \mathbb{F}_2[x]/p(x)$ where $p(x) \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$ is an irreducible polynomial of degree d .

= $\{ q(x) \in \mathbb{F}_2[x], \deg(q) < \deg(p) \}$ addition, multiplication and inversion defined modulo p .

Theorem (we won't prove) : There is exactly one field of size p^d (p prime, $d \geq 1$), upto isomorphism

Examples :- x^2+1 is irreducible in $\mathbb{R}[x]$.
is not irreducible in $\mathbb{C}[x]$ $(x+i)(x-i)$.
is not irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$.

$$(x^2+1 = (x+1)(x+1) = x^2+1 \text{ in } \mathbb{F}_2[x].)$$

$$x^2 = x \cdot x. \quad \text{not irreducible.}$$

$$x^2+x = x(x+1) \quad \gamma, \quad \gamma$$

x^2+x+1 : irreducible. (Check that x does not divide $p(x)$, neither does $x+1$).

Theorem :- There exist irreducible $p(x) \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$ of every degree $d \geq 1$.

Coming back to AMS :- we will choose [once!] an irreducible polynomial of degree $d = \lceil \lg D \rceil$, and use the k -wise independence construction over \mathbb{F}_2 using this polynomial. [Each element is now represented as the coefficient bit-vector (of dimension d) of a degree $d-1$ polynomial over \mathbb{F}_2].

We get k -wise independent d -length bit vectors, each of which as the uniform distribution over $\{0,1\}^d$. To make them use bits, take parity / fixed index.

Remark :- Note that D can be less than \mathbb{F}_{2^d} . The construction gives us $|\mathbb{F}_{2^d}| = 2^d$ uniformly random k -wise independent signs, we just ignore $2^d - D$ of these signs.

Now :- We had $sk = O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \log(1/\delta)\right)$ counters, for each of which we needed a vector of D k -wise independent uniformly random signs. [The vectors for different counters were independent].

So for each counter we need to store $\vec{z} \in \mathbb{F}_2^d$ (chosen independently uar, across counters). This requires $4 \cdot d = 4 \lceil \log D \rceil = O(\log D)$ storage per counter.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Total randomness storage} &= sk \cdot O(\log D) \\ &= O\left(\frac{\log D \log(1/\delta)}{\epsilon^2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

We if we a total of n elements, each counter needs only $O(\log n)$ bits. So we get a total

Storage of $O\left(\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{\epsilon^2} (\log n + \log D)\right)$

No linear dependence on D !!

Computation per new element: Each of the sk counters has to be updated. Each counter update requires an evaluation of a degree- k polynomial over \mathbb{F}_2^d taking time $O(d^2)$. Update time guaranteed is also of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} &O(sk \text{ poly}(d)) \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \log(1/\delta) \text{ poly} \log(D)\right). \end{aligned}$$

arithmetic operations.

From looking at the code

⚠ Even the theoretical bound warns about a bad dependence on $1/\epsilon^2$ on the update time per item [unless counters are parallel.]

- Implementations matter: A properly optimized implementation of the field arithmetic could do much better.

Hoeffding bound for bdd. random variables:- (We used this but never proved it.)

Thm: Let X be a mean zero random variable taking values in $[a, b]$. ($a \leq 0 \leq b$).

Then $\psi_X(\lambda) := \log E[\exp(\lambda X)]$ satisfies

$$\psi_X(\lambda) \leq \frac{(b-a)^2 \lambda^2}{8}$$

Proof:-

$$\psi_X(0) = 0$$

$$\psi_X'(\lambda) = \frac{E[X \exp(\lambda X)]}{E[\exp(\lambda X)]}$$

(Assuming Measure theoretic conditions for differentiating under the integral.)

$$= E_{\mu_\lambda}[X]$$

(What this means:- We define.

$$E_{\mu_\lambda}[Z] := \frac{E[Z \exp(\lambda X)]}{E[\exp(\lambda X)]}$$

μ_λ is a probability distribution:

$$E_{\mu_\lambda}[1] = 1.$$

$$\psi_X'(0) = \frac{E[X]}{1} = 0. \quad (\because X \text{ was zero mean})$$

$$\psi_X''(\lambda) = \frac{E[X^2 \exp(\lambda X)]}{E[\exp(\lambda X)]} - \frac{E[X \exp(\lambda X)]^2}{E[\exp(\lambda X)]^2}$$

$$= E_{\mu_\lambda}[X^2] - E_{\mu_\lambda}[X]^2$$

$$= \text{Var}_{\mu_\lambda}(X)$$

$$= E_{\mu_\lambda}[(X - E_{\mu_\lambda}(X))^2] \quad (\text{Clearly } \leq (b-a)^2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \min_{t \in \mathbb{R}} E_{\mu_\lambda} \left[(X-t)^2 \right] \\
&\leq E_{\mu_\lambda} \left[\left(X - \frac{b+a}{2} \right)^2 \right] \\
&\leq E_{\mu_\lambda} \left[\left(\frac{b-a}{2} \right)^2 \right] \quad (\because X \in [a, b]) \\
&= \frac{(b-a)^2}{4}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\psi_x(0) = 0$$

$$\psi'_x(0) = 0$$

$$\psi''_x(\lambda) \leq \frac{(b-a)^2}{4}. \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

By Taylor theorem with the second order error term we have; $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \exists \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $(\mu \in \text{seg}(0, \lambda))$

$$\psi_x(\lambda) = \psi_x(0) + \psi'_x(0)\lambda + \frac{\psi''_x(\mu)\lambda^2}{2}.$$

$$= \frac{\psi''_x(\mu)\lambda^2}{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{(b-a)^2 \lambda^2}{8}. \quad \text{from (1).}$$