

Lecture 11: Basic properties of PSD matrices.

Definition :- A symmetric matrix is a matrix A s.t. $A = A^T$. A symmetric matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is **positive semi-definite (PSD)** if $v^T A v \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Notation :- $A \geq 0$.

[Note :- A matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is Hermitian if $A = A^*$ where A^* is defined by $A^*_{ij} = \overline{A_{ji}}$ for every i, j . Usually PSDness is defined as a matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is PSD if $\forall v \in \mathbb{C}^n, v^* A v \geq 0$.

Observation :- Any matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ that satisfies $v^* A v \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ must be Hermitian

Fact :-

Any Hermitian matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ decomposition of the form

$$A = \sum \lambda_i u_i u_i^* \quad \text{where}$$

$\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$, u_i are orthonormal vectors: ($u_i^* u_j = \delta_{ij}$ for all i, j). Further when $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ (and hence symmetric) u_i can be chosen to have real entries.

- When A is further PSD then $\lambda_i \geq 0$.

Fact :- $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is PSD iff there are $\{\lambda_i\}_n$ non-negative and orthonormal unit-vectors $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$ such that

$$A = \sum \lambda_i u_i u_i^* .$$

Examples :-

Suppose \mathcal{D} is a distribution over \mathbb{R}^d . Consider the matrix $M \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ where

$$M_{ij} := \underset{X \sim \mathcal{D}}{\text{Cor}}(X_i, X_j) \\ = \underset{X \sim \mathcal{D}}{E} [X_i X_j] - \underset{X \sim \mathcal{D}}{E} [X_i] \underset{X \sim \mathcal{D}}{E} [X_j]$$

Claim :- M is PSD.

Proof :- [First suppose \mathcal{D} has finite support].
[Assume also that $\underset{X \sim \mathcal{D}}{E} [X] = 0$] [Ex: Remove this assumption]

$$\mathcal{D} : \left\{ (p_i, x^{(i)}) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n \right\} : X \sim \mathcal{D} = x^{(i)} \text{ with probability } p_i \\ \sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1 \\ x^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

Consider $A^{(k)} := x^{(k)} x^{(k)T}$ | $\forall v \quad v^T A^{(k)} v = (v^T x^{(k)}) (x^{(k)T} v) \\ A^{(k)}_{ij} = x_i^{(k)} x_j^{(k)} \quad \quad \quad = |v^T x^{(k)}|^2 \geq 0. \\ \Rightarrow A^{(k)} \succeq 0.$

$$M_{ij} = \underset{X \sim \mathcal{D}}{E} [X_i X_j] = \sum_{k=1}^n p_k x_i^{(k)} x_j^{(k)} = \sum_{k=1}^n p_k A^{(k)}_{ij}$$

$$\Rightarrow M = \sum_{k=1}^n p_k A^{(k)}$$

Since $p_k \geq 0 \quad \forall k$, and $A^{(k)} \succeq 0$ we get $M \succeq 0$.

[Ex: Every real PSD matrix is a covariance matrix.]

Example :- If we have any inner product space H and $v_1, \dots, v_k \in H$ then the $k \times k$ matrix M given by $M_{ij} = \langle v_i, v_j \rangle$ is PSD.

[Ex: Again every PSD M has such a representation]

Proof:-
(real case)

Take any $w \in \mathbb{R}^k$.

$$\begin{aligned} w^T M w &= \sum_{i,j} w_i M_{ij} w_j \\ &= \sum_{i,j} w_i \langle v_i, v_j \rangle w_j \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \langle w_i v_i, w_j v_j \rangle \\ &= \sum_j \langle \sum_i w_i v_i, w_j v_j \rangle \\ &= \langle \sum_i w_i v_i, \sum_j w_j v_j \rangle \\ &= \langle \sum_i w_i v_i, \sum_i w_i v_i \rangle \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

(All we really needed above is bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H$ s.t. $\langle v, v \rangle_H \geq 0$).

[This can be used to prove (for example) that if $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k > 0$ then the matrix M defined by $M_{ij} = \frac{1}{\lambda_i + \lambda_j}$ is PSD.

(From $f_\lambda(t) := e^{-\lambda t}$

$$\langle g, h \rangle := \int_0^\infty g(t) h(t) dt$$

Basic properties of PSD matrices

$$M = \sum \lambda_i v_i v_i^*, \quad = V \Gamma V^+, \quad \Gamma = \text{diag}(\lambda_i), \quad V \text{ unitary}$$

$$\text{Tr}(M) = \sum \lambda_i$$

Functions of Hermitian matrices:-

Suppose D is diagonal, and f is $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.
One can then 'naturally' define:

$$f(D)_{ii} = f(D_{ii}) \quad \forall i.$$

Suppose f looked like (convergent power series in a neighborhood of 0)

$f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + a_3 x^3 + \dots$
then our definition above also agrees:

$$f(D) = a_0 + a_1 D + a_2 D^2 + a_3 D^3 + \dots$$

(in a neighborhood of the 0-matrix).

Then it seems natural to define:

$$f(M) = a_0 + a_1 M + a_2 M^2 + a_3 M^3 + \dots$$

(hope that this 'makes sense' analytically for M close to 0).

Now suppose that M was diagonalizable. Then the above series definition says that
($M = V D V^{-1}$, V invertible, D diagonal)

$$f(M) = V (f(D)) V^{-1}$$

When M is Hermitian,

$$M = V D V^* \quad \text{where } V \text{ is unitary } (V V^* = I),$$

So,

$$f(M) = V f(D) V^* \quad \left(\text{definition of } f \text{ for Hermitian matrices} \right)$$

Goal:- To understand fns. like log, exp etc. applied to PSD matrices (with a view towards proving concentration)

We will start small by looking at $A \mapsto A^{-1}$ and $A \mapsto \sqrt{A}$ (and $A \mapsto A^2$). over positive semi-definite matrix.

Q:- For reals. $r^2 \geq s^2$ when $r \geq s \geq 0$.
Does $A \geq B \geq 0$ (which means $A - B \geq 0$) imply that

- $A^2 \geq B^2$?
- $A^{-1} \leq B^{-1}$ (assume A, B are invertible)
- $A^{1/2} \geq B^{1/2}$.

(a) is false. Let C, D be PSD.

Consider $f(t) = (C + tD)^2 - C^2$ ($C + tD \geq C \forall t \geq 0$).

$$= t[CD + DC] + t^2 D^2$$

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \quad \alpha > 0$$

$$CD + DC = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha \\ 1 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \alpha & \alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 2 & \alpha + 1 \\ 1 + \alpha & 2\alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

Fact:- A 2×2 matrix with negative determinant cannot be PSD.

$$\det(CD + DC) = 4\alpha - (\alpha + 1)^2 = -(\alpha - 1)^2$$

So, $CD + DC \not\geq 0$ when $\alpha = 2$.

So, there exist $\gamma < 0$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^2$, unit vector

$$v^T (C+D) v = \gamma.$$

$$v^T \left((C+tD)^2 - C^2 \right) v$$

$$= t\gamma + t^2 v^T D v$$

$$\leq t\gamma + 2t^2$$

$$= t(\gamma + 2t). \text{ Choose } t = -\frac{\gamma}{3}.$$

$$= -\frac{\gamma}{3} \left(\gamma + \frac{\gamma}{3} \right) = -\frac{\gamma^2}{9} < 0.$$

So, $(C+tD)^2 - C^2$ is not PSD.

Example :- Claim : (1) $\log(A) \succeq \log(B)$ when $A \succeq B \succeq 0$
(Proof in the uploaded notes)

(2) $A \mapsto \exp(A)$ is not monotone increasing on PSD matrices.

Thm :- (1) $A \succeq B$ then $\text{Tr}(A) \geq \text{Tr}(B)$ for all Hermitian A, B .

(2) If $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is ^{twice differentiable} monotone on an interval $[a, b]$ and M, N are Hermitian with eigenvalues in $[a, b]$ s.t. $M \succeq N$, then $\text{Tr}(f(M)) \geq \text{Tr}(f(N))$.

Pf :- (1) $A \succeq B \Rightarrow v^T (A-B) v \geq 0 \quad \forall v$.

$$\text{So, } \text{Tr}(A-B) = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^T (A-B) e_i \geq 0$$

(2) Let $B = M - N \succeq 0$. Define $g(t) = \text{Tr} f(N + tB)$.

$g(0) = \text{Tr}(f(N)), g(1) = \text{Tr}(f(M))$. and all eigenvalues

$g(N+tB)$ lie in $[a, b]$ when $t \in [0, 1]$ (Why?)

Assuming $f'(s) \geq 0 \quad \forall s \in [a, b]$, we will prove that $g'(t) \geq 0 \quad \forall t \in [0, 1]$. (which $\Rightarrow g(1) \geq g(0)$).

$$g(t) = \text{Tr}(f(N+tB)).$$

$$g'(t) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{Tr}(f(N+(t+s)B) - f(N+tB))}{s}$$

$$= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{Tr}(f'(N+tB) \cdot sB) + O(s^2)}{s}$$

$$= \text{Tr}(f'(N+tB)B) \quad \text{where } B \geq 0.$$

$$= \text{Tr}(B^{1/2} f'(N+tB) B^{1/2}). \quad (\because \text{Tr}(PQ) = \text{Tr}(QP))$$

Since $N+tB$ has eigenvalues in $[a, b]$, and $f'(x) \geq 0$ when $x \in [a, b]$, $f'(N+tB) \geq 0$.

$$\Rightarrow B^{1/2} f'(N+tB) B^{1/2} \geq 0 \quad (\text{by congruence})$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Tr}(B^{1/2} f'(N+tB) B^{1/2}) \geq 0 \quad \left[\begin{array}{l} \text{If } A \geq 0 \\ \text{and } B \text{ is any} \\ \text{matrix then} \\ B^* A B \text{ is PSD.} \end{array} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow g'(t) \geq 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow g(1) = \text{Tr}(M) \geq \text{Tr}(N) = g(0). \quad \blacksquare$$

Thm:- (Lieb's theorem) Let H be a Hermitian matrix. Define the function

$$f_H(A) := \text{Tr} \exp(H + \log A)$$

on positive definite matrices. Then f_H is concave:- $\forall A, B$ positive definite, $\lambda \in [0, 1]$,

$$f_H(\lambda A + (1-\lambda)B) \geq \lambda f_H(A) + (1-\lambda)f_H(B).$$

Thm:- (We won't use, but useful to know). [Golden-Thompson inequality]. For A, B hermitian,
$$\text{Tr}(e^{A+B}) \leq \text{Tr}(e^A e^B).$$

(Not true that $\text{Tr}(e^{A+B+C}) \leq \text{Tr}(e^A e^B e^C)$.)

Remark:- This would be trivial if A, B were commutative, because then $e^{A+B} = e^A e^B$.
not in general true when $AB \neq BA$.

Corollary of Lieb's theorem:- If Z is a random Hermitian matrix then for any Hermitian H

$$E[\text{Tr} \exp(H + Z)] \leq \text{Tr} \exp(H + \log E[\exp(Z)])$$

Pf:- $X := \exp(Z) \succ 0$. Apply Jensen's inequality to Lieb's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} E[\text{Tr}(\exp(H + Z))] &= E[\text{Tr}(\exp(H + \log X))] \\ &= E[f_H(X)] \\ &\leq f_H(E(X)) \quad (\text{Jensen's inequality}) \\ &= \text{Tr} \exp(H + \log E[\exp(Z)]). \quad (\text{Check!}) \end{aligned}$$