

# Conic Programming and Duality (Lecture 19) ①

A cone program is an optimization problem of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \max & \quad \langle c, x \rangle \\ \text{s.t.} & \quad A(x) = b \\ & \quad x \in K. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that  $A$  is a linear operator from  $V$  to  $W$ .  $K \subseteq V$  is a closed convex cone and  $c \in V$ ,  $b \in W$ .

The value of a feasible cone program is defined as

$$\sup \{ \langle c, x \rangle : Ax = b, x \in K \}.$$

The limit value of a limit-feasible cone program is defined as

$$\sup \left\{ \underbrace{\langle c, (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \rangle}_{\text{"}} : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} A(x_k) = b, x_k \in K \text{ for all } k \right\}.$$

limsup  $\langle c, x_k \rangle$

It is immediate from the definitions that the limit value of a limit-feasible cone program  $\geq$  its value. Moreover, this inequality might be strict.

For example,

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \max z \\ \text{s.t. } z \leq 1 \\ \quad x = 0 \\ \quad (x, y, z) \in K_{\text{top}} \\ \quad (\text{the toppled ice cream} \\ \quad \text{cone in } \mathbb{R}^3) \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \text{Rewriting this in} \\ \text{the above form,} \\ \max z \\ \text{s.t. } z + s = 1 \\ \quad x = 0 \\ \quad (x, y, z, s) \in K_{\text{top}} \oplus \mathbb{R}_+ \end{array}$$

The value of the above cone program is 0. However, its limit value is 1 due to the limit-feasible seq.  $(\frac{1}{k}, k, 1, 0)$ .

Primal program

$$\max \langle c, x \rangle$$

s.t.

$$A(x) = b$$

$$x \in K.$$

Dual program

$$\min \langle b, y \rangle$$

s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} A^T(y) &= c + s \\ s &\in K^* \end{aligned} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{Equivalently,} \\ A^T(y) - c \in K^* \end{array} \right\}$$

Weak duality. If the dual program is feasible and if the primal program is limit-feasible then the limit value of the primal program  $\leq$  the value of the dual program.

Regular duality. The dual program is feasible and has a finite value  $\beta$  if and only if the primal program is limit-feasible and has a finite value  $r$ . Moreover,  $\beta = r$ .

So regular duality implies the following picture:

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Value of the primal} \\ \hline = \text{Limit value of the dual} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Limit value of the primal} \\ \hline = \text{Value of the dual} \end{array}$$

As seen in the earlier example, there can be a gap between the value of a <sup>feasible</sup> cone program and its limit value.

Strong duality. If the primal program is feasible, has a finite value  $r$  and has an interior point  $\tilde{x}$ , then the dual program is also feasible and has the same value  $r$ .

To prove strong duality, let us recall the following <sup>(3)</sup>  
theorem (see the notes of Lecture 16):

Definition. An interior point of our primal program  
is a point  $\underline{x \in \text{int}(K)}$  such that  $A(x) = b$ .

that is, there is a sufficiently small ball around  $x$   
fully contained in  $K$ .

Theorem. If the primal program has an interior  
point (which also means it is feasible), then  
the value equals the limit value.

Proof of strong duality. The primal program  
is feasible  $\Rightarrow$  it is limit-feasible. It has an  
interior point  $\Rightarrow$  its value = limit value. Let  
us now apply regular duality.

The primal program is limit-feasible and  
has a finite limit value  $r \Rightarrow$  the dual program  
is feasible and has a finite value  $\beta$ ; moreover,  
 $\beta = r$ .  $\blacksquare$

### Semidefinite Programming Case

Primal program:  $\max C \cdot X$

$$\text{s.t. } A_i \cdot X = b_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$

$$X \succcurlyeq 0$$

(Recall the notation  $C \cdot X = \sum_{i,j=1}^n c_{ij} x_{ij}$ .)

Dual program:  $\min b^T y$

$$\text{s.t. } \sum_{i=1}^m y_i A_i - c \succcurlyeq 0.$$

Theorem. If the primal program is feasible and has a finite value  $\gamma$ , and if there is a positive definite\* matrix  $\tilde{X}$  s.t.  $A(\tilde{X}) = b$ , then the dual program is feasible and has finite value  $\beta = \gamma$ .  
(\*: a positive definite matrix will be an interior point in  $PSD_n$ )

The above theorem immediately follows from strong duality of cone programs by taking  $V = SYM_n$ ,  $W = \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $K = PSD_n$ . We have seen that  $K^* = PSD_n$  (this cone is self-dual). We also know that

$$A^T(y) = \sum_{i=1}^m y_i A_i. \text{ Thus the above theorem follows.}$$

A useful lemma: Let  $M$  be an  $n \times n$  real matrix. We have  $M \succcurlyeq 0$  if and only if there are unit-length vectors  $u_1, \dots, u_n \in S^{n-1}$  and non-negative real numbers  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  such that  $M = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i u_i u_i^T$ .

Proof. If there are such vectors  $u_1, \dots, u_n \in S^{n-1}$  and non-negative real numbers  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  s.t.

$$M = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i u_i u_i^T \text{ then } v^T M v \text{ where } v \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

equals  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (\underbrace{v^T u_i}_{\text{a real no.}}) (\underbrace{v^T u_i}_{\text{the same no.}})^T = \text{a conic combn. of squares} \geq 0.$

Thus  $M \succcurlyeq 0$ .

For the "only if" direction, let us diagonalize  $M = SDS^T$  where  $S$  is an orthogonal matrix (whose columns are the eigenvectors of  $M$ )

and  $D$  is a diagonal matrix with non-negative values  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  (these are  $M$ 's eigenvalues) on its diagonal.

Define  $u_i = i\text{-th column of } S$ .

$$\text{So } M = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i u_i u_i^T \text{ since } M = S \left( \sum_{i=1}^n D^{(i)} \right) S^T$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus } M &= \sum_{i=1}^n S D^{(i)} S^T \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i u_i u_i^T. \end{aligned}$$

By the orthogonality of  $S$ ,  $\|u_i\|=1$  for all  $i$ .  $\blacksquare$

### An application of the strong duality theorem

Theorem. Let  $C \in \text{SYM}_n$ . Then the largest eigenvalue of  $C$  is  $\lambda = \max \{ x^T C x : x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \|x\|=1 \}$ .

Proof. Observe that  $x^T C x = C \cdot x x^T$  and  $\|x\|=1$  is the same as  $\text{Tr}(x x^T) = 1$ . Thus  $\lambda$  is the value of the constrained optimization problem:  $\max C \cdot x x^T$

Claim. Positive semidefinite matrices

$$\text{s.t. } \text{Tr}(x x^T) = 1$$

of rank 1 are exactly the ones of the form  $x x^T$  for some vector  $x$ . (This is an exercise.)

Consider the following SDP:  $\max C \cdot X$   
 s.t.  $\text{Tr}(X) = 1$

This SDP along with the constraint that  $X$  has rank 1 is equivalent to the above constrained optimization problem (using the above claim).

Thus the above SDP is a relaxation of the above constrained optimization problem

Recall that any psd matrix  $X$  can be written as ⑥

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i x_i x_i^T \text{ where } \mu_i \geq 0 \text{ for all } i \\ \text{and } \|x_i\| = 1 \text{ for all } i.$$

So  $\text{Tr}(x_i x_i^T) = 1$  and if  $X$  is a feasible solution of our SDP then  $\text{Tr}(X) = 1$ . So

$$\text{Tr}(X) = 1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \text{Tr}(x_i x_i^T) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{We have } C \cdot X &= C \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i x_i x_i^T \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i (C \cdot x_i x_i^T) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i (\max_i C \cdot x_i x_i^T) \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$C \cdot X^* \geq \lambda$  for some  $X^*$  since the SDP is a relaxation of this optimization problem.

The same value  $\lambda$ .

Now we will use the strong duality theorem for SDP. Since  $\text{Tr}(X) = \underbrace{\mathbb{I}_n \cdot X}_{\text{identity matrix}}$ ,  $A^T(y) = y \mathbb{I}_n$ .

So the dual cone program is  $\min_y$

$$\text{s.t. } y \mathbb{I}_n - C \geq 0.$$

Since the primal program has a feasible solution that is positive definite (let  $X = \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{I}_n$ , for example), the strong duality theorem applies — so the value of the above SDP is also  $\lambda$ . But what is  $\lambda$ ?

If  $C$  has eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ , then  $y \mathbb{I}_n - C$  has eigenvalues  $y - \lambda_1, \dots, y - \lambda_n$  and the constraint  $y \mathbb{I}_n - C \geq 0$  requires all of them to be  $\geq 0$ . The smallest  $y$  for which  $y - \lambda_i \geq 0$  for all  $i$  is  $y = \lambda_1$ , i.e.,  $y =$  the largest eigenvalue of  $C$ . This proves the theorem.  $\square$