

Lecture 21 Online Paging

We were analyzing the Marker algorithm. We now want to bound the expected number of misses by Marker algorithm.

- Consider round t . Call a page old if it was requested in round $t-1$, and new otherwise.
- So all old pages are in Marker's cache at the beginning of the round, i.e., they are in S_M .
- Let there be l_t many new pages in round t .
- Recall that the cache size is k . So there are $k - l_t$ many old pages in round t .

Observe that every single new page will cause a miss by Marker algorithm. That is, the first time this page is ^{request}requested in round t , it will be a miss.

As for the old pages, the first time an old page is called in round $t \rightarrow$ it will cause a miss by Marker algorithm only if this page got evicted previously by the algorithm in this round.

In order to maximize the number of misses, let us assume that the l_t requests for new pages precede the $k - l_t$ requests for old pages.

* These l_t page requests are certainly misses. What is the probability that the first of the old page requests is a miss?

- Date _____
- It is easy to see that this is $\frac{l_t}{k}$.

What is the probability that the 2nd of the old page requests is a miss? That is, this is the first time this old page is requested in this round and it is the second ~~next~~ old page to be requested in this round, what is the probability that this page is not present in the cache?

* We claim this probability is $\frac{l_t}{k-1}$.

This is because l_t pages out of $k-1$ old pages have been evicted so far. This is by the following reasoning:

- The first old page was in the cache at the beginning of the round and it must be there now (when the second old page was requested).
- It may have been evicted but it has been brought back into the cache by evicting another old page.
- Thus l_t pages have been evicted out of $k-1$ old pages.

Hence $\text{Prob}(\text{the 2nd of the old page requests is a miss}) = \frac{l_t}{(k-1)}$.

It is easy to see that $\text{Prob}(\text{the } i\text{-th of the old page requests is a miss}) = \frac{l_t}{(k-i+1)}$.

We mimic the above argument to show this. We claim l_t pages out of $k-i+1$ old pages have been evicted so far.

- Even if some of the first $(i-1)$ old pages have been evicted, they have been brought back by evicting other old pages.
- Thus l_t pages have been evicted out of $(k-i+1)$ old pages.

Hence $E[f_{\text{Marker}}(r_1, \dots, r_N)] \leq \sum_{\text{rounds of misses in this round}} \text{Expected number}$

Expected number of misses in the t -th round

$$\leq l_t + \frac{l_t}{k} + \frac{l_t}{k-1} + \dots + \frac{l_t}{l_t+1}$$

$$\leq l_t \cdot H_k$$

Thus $E[f_{\text{Marker}}(r_1, \dots, r_N)] \leq \sum_t l_t \cdot H_k$

Hence competitive ratio of the Marker algo.

$$\leq \frac{\sum_t l_t \cdot H_k}{\sum_t l_t / 2} = \underline{2 H_k}$$

Thus deterministic algorithms for online paging have competitive ratio $\geq k$ while the above randomized algorithm has competitive ratio $\approx 2 \ln k$.

Linear Programming

Linear programming is the problem of minimizing or maximizing a linear function subject to linear constraints. The function being optimized is called the objective function.

Ex. $\min 3x_1 + 4x_2$

subject to

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 + 2x_2 &\geq 5 \\ x_1 + x_2 &\geq 4 \\ x_1, x_2 &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Any solution that satisfies all the constraints is called a feasible solution.

In the above problem, the optimal solution is $x_1 = 3, x_2 = 1$. So the optimal value of this LP

is $3 \times 3 + 4 \times 1 = 13$.

A feasible solution that minimizes the objective function is called an optimal solution.

Is there any way we can quickly convince someone that 13 is the best answer here?

$$1 \cdot (x_1 + 2x_2) + 2 \cdot (x_1 + x_2) \geq 1 \times 5 + 2 \times 4 = 13.$$

$$\text{Thus } 3x_1 + 4x_2 \geq 13$$

Is it always possible to find such multipliers such that

$$y_1(\text{Inequality 1}) + \dots + y_n(\text{Inequality } n) \\ = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i y_i = \sum_{j=1}^k c_j x_j$$

Let us look at another example.

$$\min 7x_1 + 3x_2$$

$$\text{such that } -x_1 + x_2 \geq 10$$

Let y_1 be the multiplier for Inequality 1
 $\& y_2$ for Inequality 2.

Let λ_1, λ_2 be the multipliers for $x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0$.

Is there a setting of $y_1, y_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$ so that

$$y_1(-x_1 + x_2) + y_2(2x_1 + 5x_2) \\ + \lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2 = 7x_1 + 3x_2$$

non-negative

Then we know that $7x_1 + 3x_2 \geq 10y_1 + 15y_2$

So the optimal value is bounded from below by $10y_1 + 15y_2$. We want to find the best setting of y_1, y_2 to get as good a lower bound as possible. This problem of determining the best values for y_1, y_2 is the dual problem.

$$\max 10y_1 + 15y_2$$

s.t.

$$-y_1 + 2y_2 + \lambda_1 = 7$$

$$y_1 + 5y_2 + \lambda_2 = 3$$

$$y_1, y_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0.$$

Another way of writing these constraints is

$$\begin{aligned}-y_1 + 2y_2 &\leq 7 \\ y_1 + 5y_2 &\leq 3 \\ y_1, y_2 &\geq 0\end{aligned}$$

Primal LP: Let there be k variables & n inequalities.

$$\min \sum_{j=1}^k c_j x_j$$

s.t.

$$A \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_k \end{bmatrix} \geq \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$x_1, \dots, x_k \geq 0$$

Dual LP

$$\max \sum_{i=1}^n b_i y_i$$

$$\text{s.t. } A^T \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \leq \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_k \end{bmatrix}$$

$$y_1, \dots, y_n \geq 0.$$

Weak duality theorem

If (x_1, \dots, x_k) is primal feasible and (y_1, \dots, y_n) is dual feasible then $\sum_j c_j x_j \geq \sum_i b_i y_i$

Proof.

Consider $(y_1, \dots, y_n) A \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_k \end{bmatrix}$. This equals

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k a_{ij} x_j y_i$$

This sum can be written as

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^k a_{ij} x_j \right) y_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^n b_i y_i$$

(since (x_1, \dots, x_k) is primal feasible)

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} y_i \right) x_j \leq \sum_{j=1}^k c_j x_j$$

(since (y_1, \dots, y_n) is dual feasible). □

An important question: Is there a gap between the primal optimal value and the dual optimal value?

Strong duality theorem. If (x_1^*, \dots, x_k^*) is

the optimal solution for the primal LP then the dual LP also has an optimal soln. (y_1^*, \dots, y_n^*) and $\sum_j c_j x_j^* = \sum_i b_i y_i^*$.

There are 3 possibilities for the primal LP.
 1) Infeasible 2) Unbounded 3) Finite optimal value.

Similarly there are 3 possibilities for the dual LP.
 1') Infeasible 2') Unbounded 3') Finite optimal value.

1) \Rightarrow optimal value of the primal LP is the minimum of the empty set = ∞ .

Similarly 1') \Rightarrow optimal value of the dual LP is the maximum of the empty set = $-\infty$.

2) \Rightarrow primal opt = $-\infty$ and 2') \Rightarrow dual opt = ∞ .

Observe

~~that~~ 2) \Rightarrow 1') by weak duality.

Similarly observe that 2') \Rightarrow 1).

We can also have both 1) and 1') occurring together. Then there is ∞ gap between the primal optimal value and dual optimal value.

An example for this (both primal LP and dual LP are infeasible)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & x_1 - 2x_2 \\ \text{s.t.} & \\ & x_1 - x_2 \geq 2 \\ & -x_1 + x_2 \geq -1 \\ & x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \end{array} \quad \left| \quad \begin{array}{ll} \max & 2y_1 - y_2 \\ \text{s.t.} & \\ & y_1 - y_2 \leq 1 \\ & -y_1 + y_2 \leq -2 \\ & y_1, y_2 \geq 0 \end{array} \right.$$

Thus there are 4 possibilities in total:

1) and 1'; 2) and 1'; 1) and 2';

Date _____

3) and 3')

this is strong duality.

3) \Rightarrow 3')

and 3') \Rightarrow 3)