

## Lec. 7: Low degree testing (Part I)

Lecturer: Prahladh Harsha

Scribe: Nutan Limaye

In this lecture<sup>1</sup>, we will give a local algorithm to test whether a given function is close to a low degree polynomial, i.e. check whether the function  $f : \mathbb{F}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  (given as a truth-table) is close to the evaluation of some multi-variate polynomial of low degree without reading all of  $f$ . This problem is referred to as the “low-degree testing”. The main references for this lecture include Lecture 14 from Sudan’s course on inapproximability at MIT [Sud99] and the papers by Raz and Safra [RS97] and Moshkovitz and Raz [MR08] on the plane-point low-degree test.

**Recap from last lecture:** In the last lecture, we showed that the Walsh-Hadamard code has excellent locally checkable properties using the Fourier analysis of linearity test. Recall that the Walsh-Hadamard code is a  $[k, 2^k, 2^{k-1}]_2$  code. The disadvantage of this code is that the rate of the code is inverse-exponential, it blows up the message exponentially! In fact, using the local checkability of the WH-code, we constructed the following PCP for NP:  $\text{NP} \subseteq \text{PCP}_{1,1/2}(O(n^2), 14)$ . Recall that we intend to eventually prove the following PCP theorem:  $\text{NP} \subseteq \text{PCP}_{1,1/2}(O(\log n), O(1))$ . A possible starting point would be to show that some code with not-too-bad-a-rate (inverse polynomial is fine) is locally checkable. The low-degree testing algorithm we will discuss today will show that the Reed-Muller code is in fact one such code: it has inverse-polynomial rate and is locally checkable. In later lectures, we will then prove the PCP theorem using the local checkability of Reed-Muller codes.

**Low Degree Test:** Let  $\mathbb{F}$  is a finite field and  $|\mathbb{F}| = q$  and  $d < q$ . A function  $f : \mathbb{F}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  is said to be a degree  $d$  polynomial if it can be expressed as follows<sup>2</sup>.

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_m \leq d} a_{i_1 \dots i_m} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_m^{i_m}, \quad \forall (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{F}^m.$$

Let us denote the set of all  $m$ -variate degree- $d$  polynomial by  $\mathcal{P}_d^m$ . The main problem in “low-degree testing” is as follows:

Given a function  $f : \mathbb{F}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  (as a table of values), check whether  $f$  is a low-degree polynomial or far from being low-degree (i.e., if  $f \in \mathcal{P}_d^m$  or  $\delta(f, P)$  is large for all  $P \in \mathcal{P}_d^m$ ) by querying  $f$  at as few points as possible.

Here, “farness” or its complement “closeness” is measured in terms of the Hamming distance, i.e. two functions  $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$  are said to be  $\delta$ -close to each other if  $\Pr_{x \in X} [f(x) \neq g(x)] \leq \delta$ .

<sup>1</sup>Prahladh: These notes are far more detailed than the lecture it corresponds to. Thanks to the scribe Nutan for filling in all the missing details in the lecture.

<sup>2</sup>Here we do not distinguish between the formal representation of the function as a polynomial and the evaluation of the function.

$\delta$ . And a function  $f$  is said to be  $\delta$ -close to a family of functions  $S$ , if there exists a function  $g \in S$  such that  $f$  is  $\delta$ -close to  $g$ .

We would like to design a low-degree test which has the following properties.

**Completeness:** If  $f$  is a low degree polynomial, then test accepts with probability 1.

**Soundness:** There exists  $\delta_0 \in (0, 1)$  such that if  $\Pr[\text{Test Rejects}] \leq \delta \leq \delta_0$  then  $f$  is  $O(\delta)$ -close to a low degree polynomial.

We would like to ask how large can  $\delta_0$  be?

The crucial property that has led to the local checkability of Reed-Muller codes is the following.

The restriction of a degree  $d$  polynomial to lower dimensional spaces is also a degree  $d$  polynomial. In other words, if  $f \in \mathcal{P}_d^m$  and  $s$  is a  $k$ -dimensional affine subspace of  $\mathbb{F}^m$ , then  $f|_s \in \mathcal{P}_d^k$ .

## 7.1 History of the Low-degree Test

In this section, we will briefly go over the history of the low-degree testing problem. There is a long history of low degree testing. In fact, the history of the low-degree test not surprisingly mirrors the history of PCPs: each time a better low degree test was proved, it resulted in an improved probabilistic proof system.

### 7.1.1 Axis parallel test

1. Pick a random axis parallel line  $l$ . (i.e. pick an index  $i \in_R [m]$  and then pick  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_m$  randomly from  $\mathbb{F}$ .)
2. Query  $f$  on  $l$ . (i.e. query  $f$  on  $(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, x_m)$  for all  $x_i \in \mathbb{F}$ .)
3. Accept if  $f|_l$  is a univariate degree  $d$  polynomial.

This axis parallel test was proposed by Babai, Fortnow and Lund [BFL91]. They used this test to prove  $\text{MIP} = \text{NEXP}$ . In terms of the parameters mentioned earlier, they obtained  $\delta_0 = O(1/md)$ . Later Arora and Safra [AS98] improved the parameter by removing the dependence on the degree and got  $\delta_0 = O(1/m)$ . The dependence on  $m$  is unavoidable: consider a function which is low-degree along all but one axis. The test will fail only if the function is queried along that axes which happens with probability  $1/m$ . Polishchuk and Spielman [PS94] then further improved the parameters for the axis parallel test and gave a very clean analysis using resultants.

### 7.1.2 Random line test

This test was proposed by Gemmel, Lipton, Rubinfeld, Sudan, and Wigderson [GLR<sup>+</sup>91] to get around the  $1/m$  barrier.

1. Choose a random line  $l$   
(i.e., choose two random points  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}^m$  and set  $l = \{a + tb | t \in \mathbb{F}\}$ .)
2. Query  $f$  along  $l$ .
3. Accept if  $f|_l$  is a univariate, degree  $d$  polynomial (i.e.  $f|_l \in \mathcal{P}_d^1$ ).

This got around the  $1/m$  barrier as the line chosen was a random line not necessarily an axis-parallel line. This test was analyzed by Rubinfeld and Sudan [RS96] and Arora, Lund, Motwani, Sudan, and Szegedy [ALM<sup>+</sup>98] which eventually led to the PCP Theorem. Their analyses gave the following soundness

There exists  $\delta_0 = O(1)$  such that if  $\Pr [\text{Test Rejects}] \leq \delta \leq \delta_0$  then  $f$  is  $O(\delta)$ -close to degree  $d$  polynomial.

The above theorem shows that if the line-test accepts the function with probability close to 1, then it must be the case that the function is very close to some (in fact unique) low-degree polynomial. Arora and Sudan [AS03] considerably improved this analysis and showed that even if the line-test passes with non-trivial probability, then it must be the case that the function has non-trivial agreement with some low-degree polynomial (not necessarily a unique one in this case).

There exists  $\varepsilon_0 = \text{poly}\left(m, d, \frac{1}{|\mathbb{F}|}\right)$  such that if  $\Pr [f \in \mathcal{P}_d^1] \geq \delta$ , then there exists a degree  $d$  polynomial  $P$  such that  $\text{agr}(f, P) \geq \delta - \varepsilon_0$ .

where agreement  $\text{agr}(f, g)$  between two functions  $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$  is defined as the fraction of points  $f$  and  $g$  agree on, i.e.,  $\Pr_{x \in X} [f(x) = g(x)]$ . In fact, they proved the following even stronger statement

**Theorem 7.1.1** (Line-Test [AS03]). *There exists  $\varepsilon_0 = \text{poly}\left(m, d, \frac{1}{|\mathbb{F}|}\right)$  such that for all  $f : \mathbb{F}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ ,*

$$\mathbb{E}_l [\text{agr}(f|_l, \mathcal{P}_d^1)] \geq \delta \implies \text{agr}(f, \mathcal{P}_d^m) \geq \delta - \varepsilon_0.$$

where agreement  $\text{agr}(f, G)$  between a function  $f$  and a set of functions  $G$  is defined as the maximum agreement between  $f$  and elements of  $G$  (i.e.,  $\max_{g \in G} \text{agr}(f, g)$ ).

### 7.1.3 The plane-test

Raz and Safra [RS97] suggested another low-degree test which is the plane analogue of the above line-test.

#### Plane-Test

1. Pick a random plane  $s$ .
2. Query  $f$  along  $s$ .
3. Accept if  $f|_s$  is a bivariate degree  $d$  polynomial (i.e.  $f|_s \in \mathcal{P}_d^2$ ).

It is easy to see that the completeness for this test is 1. As in the case of the Arora-Sudan analysis, Raz and Safra (independently and almost simultaneously with Arora and Sudan) showed that

There exists  $\varepsilon_0 = \text{poly}\left(m, d, \frac{1}{|\mathbb{F}|}\right)$  such that if  $\Pr[f \in \mathcal{P}_d^2] \geq \delta$ , then there exists a degree  $d$  polynomial  $Q$  such that  $\text{agr}(f, Q) \geq \delta - \varepsilon_0$ .

The Raz-Safra analysis is simpler than the Arora-Sudan analysis and we will use their version of the low-degree test. Our main goal is to prove the following theorem, which is a slightly stronger statement than the above mentioned soundness statement.

**Theorem 7.1.2** (Soundness of the Plane-Test [RS97]). *There exists  $\varepsilon_0 = \text{poly}\left(m, d, \frac{1}{|\mathbb{F}|}\right)$  such that for all  $f : \mathbb{F}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ ,*

$$\mathbb{E}_{s\text{-plane}} [\text{agr}(f|_s, \mathcal{P}_d^2)] \geq \delta \implies \text{agr}(f, \mathcal{P}_d^m) \geq \delta - \varepsilon_0.$$

In other words, if  $\mathbb{E}_{s\text{-plane}} [\text{agr}(f|_s, \mathcal{P}_d^2)] \geq \delta$  (i.e. if locally the function  $f$  agrees with a degree  $d$  polynomial) then there is a global agreement in the sense that there exists  $Q \in \mathcal{P}_d^m$  such that  $\text{agr}(f, Q) \geq \delta - \varepsilon_0$ .

## 7.2 The Plane-Point Test

In order to analyse the soundness of the plane-test, it will be convenient for us to work with a slightly different test, which we will call the “plane-point Test”. Before describing this new test, we introduce some notation. Let  $\mathcal{S}_k^m$  be the set of all affine subspaces of dimension  $k$  in  $\mathbb{F}^m$ . Recall that  $\mathcal{P}_d^m$  is the set of all  $m$ -variate degree  $d$  polynomials. This new test has two inputs: the point oracle  $f : \mathbb{F}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  as before and an additional plane oracle  $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{S}_2^m \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_d^2$ . The plane oracle is supposed to give for every plane  $s$  in  $\mathbb{F}^m$ , the degree  $d$  bivariate polynomial which corresponds to the restriction of  $f$  to the plane  $s$ <sup>3</sup>.

### Plane-Point Test

Inputs:  $f : \mathbb{F}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A} : \mathcal{S}_2^m \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_d^2$ .

1. Pick a plane at random,  $s \in \mathcal{S}_2^m$ .
2. Query the plane oracle at this plane.
3. Pick a point  $x$  at random from  $s$ .

---

<sup>3</sup>It is to be noted that all works on low-degree tests actually deal with tests of this form (i.e., one point oracle and an additional oracle). We took a slightly different presentation as that seemed more natural in the context of this course..

4. Accept if  $f(x) = \mathcal{A}(s)(x)$

The following lemma lets us move from the plane-test to the plane-point test and vice-versa.

**Lemma 7.2.1.**  $\mathbb{E}_{s \in \mathcal{S}_2^m} [\text{agr}(f|_s, \mathcal{P}_d^2)] \geq \delta$  if and only if there exists an oracle  $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{S}_2^m \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_d^2$  such that  $\Pr_{s \in \mathcal{S}_2^m, x \in s} [\mathcal{A}(s)(x) = f(x)] \geq \delta$

*Proof.* The “if” part follows by definition. For the other (“if only”) direction, let us first show the weaker statement: If  $\Pr_{s \in \mathcal{S}_2^m} [f|_s \in \mathcal{P}_d^2] \geq \delta$  then there exists an oracle  $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{S}_2^m \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_d^2$  such that  $\Pr_{s \in \mathcal{S}_2^m, x \in s} [\mathcal{A}(s)(x) = f(x)] \geq \delta$

Let a plane  $s$  be called *good* if  $f|_s \in \mathcal{P}_d^2$  and *bad* otherwise. For each good plane  $s$ , let  $\mathcal{A}(s)$  be  $f|_s$ . For all the bad planes, let  $\mathcal{A}(s)$  be assigned arbitrarily. With this  $\mathcal{A}$ , for all the good planes and for all  $x$ ,  $\mathcal{A}(s)(x) = f(x)$ . Hence the statement. Now for the stronger claim, by the definition of *agr* we have

$$\mathbb{E}_s [\text{agr}(f|_s, \mathcal{P}_d^2)] = \mathbb{E}_s \left[ \max_{g \in \mathcal{P}_d^2} \{\text{agr}(f|_s, g)\} \right]$$

For a fixed plane  $s$  let  $\mathcal{A}(s)$  be the polynomial in  $\mathcal{P}_d^2$  that achieves the maximum.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_s [\text{agr}(f|_s, \mathcal{P}_d^2)] &= \mathbb{E}_s [\Pr_x [f(x) = \mathcal{A}(s)(x)]] \\ &= \Pr_{s,x} [f(x) = \mathcal{A}(s)(x)] \end{aligned}$$

Thus, proved. □

Given the above lemma, the soundness theorem for the plane-test translates as follows.

**Theorem 7.2.2** (Soundness of Plane-point test (equivalent to [Theorem 7.1.2](#))). *There exists  $\varepsilon_0 = \text{poly}\left(\frac{md}{|\mathbb{F}|}\right)$  such that for all functions  $f : \mathbb{F}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ , if there exists a plane oracle  $\mathcal{A}$  for which*

$$\Pr_{s \in \mathcal{S}_2^m, x \in s} [\mathcal{A}(s)(x) = f(x)] \geq \delta$$

*then there exists a degree  $d$  polynomial  $Q$  such that  $\Pr_{x \in \mathbb{F}^m} [Q(x) = f(x)] \geq \delta - \varepsilon_0$*

### 7.3 List decoding version of low degree test

The conclusion of the low degree test (which we wish to prove) claims that the function  $f$  has  $\delta - \varepsilon_0$  agreement with some low-degree polynomial. Can there be more than polynomial with which the function has this agreement? In fact, for such low-agreement, there could be several polynomials with which the function has this agreement. The following lemma shows that however this list of polynomials with which a function has non-trivial agreement cannot be too long.

**Lemma 7.3.1.** *Suppose  $\delta \geq 2\sqrt{\frac{d}{q}}$ . Let  $f : \mathbb{F}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  and let  $P_1, \dots, P_t : \mathbb{F}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  be all the degree  $d$  polynomials that have agreement at least  $\delta$  then  $t \leq 2/\delta$ .*

In other words,  $f$  cannot have  $\delta$ -agreement with too many polynomials as long as  $\delta$  is not small.<sup>4</sup>

In fact, it can be shown that [Theorem 7.2.2](#) has the following equivalent version in terms of the list of polynomials that agree with  $f$ .

**Theorem 7.3.2.** *There exists  $\varepsilon_0 = \text{poly}\left(\frac{md}{|\mathbb{F}|}\right)$ . Let  $f : \mathbb{F}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  be a function and  $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{S}_2^m \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_d^2$  a planes oracle. For every  $\delta > \varepsilon_0$  there exist  $t \leq O(1/\delta)$  polynomials  $Q^1, \dots, Q^t : \mathbb{F}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  such that*

$$\Pr_{s \in \mathcal{S}_2^m, x \in s} \left[ \mathcal{A}(s)(x) = f(x) \text{ and } \nexists i \in [t], Q^i|_s \equiv \mathcal{A}(s) \right] \leq \delta.$$

In other words, there exist a short list of polynomials which explains all but  $\delta$ -probability of the success of the low-degree test. The equivalence between [Theorem 7.2.2](#) and [Theorem 7.3.2](#) follows from the following two propositions, the first of which we prove in class and the second is deferred to the appendix.

**Proposition 7.3.3** (list-decoding to decoding). *Let  $f : \mathbb{F}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  be a function and  $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{S}_2^m \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_d^2$  (possibly randomized) such that*

$$\Pr_{s,x} [\mathcal{A}(s)(x) = f(x)] \geq \gamma$$

where the probability is also taken over the randomness of the plane oracle  $\mathcal{A}$ . Furthermore suppose that for some  $\delta \geq \text{poly}(d/q)$  that there exist  $t \leq O(1/\delta)$  polynomials  $Q^1, \dots, Q^t : \mathbb{F}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  that explains almost all the success of the low-degree test, i.e.,

$$\Pr_{s \in \mathcal{S}_2^m, x \in s} \left[ \mathcal{A}(s)(x) = f(x) \text{ and } \nexists i \in [t], Q^i|_s \equiv \mathcal{A}(s) \right] \leq \delta.$$

Then, there exists  $i \in [t]$ , such that  $\Pr_x [f(x) = Q^i(x)] \geq \gamma - \delta - \text{poly}\left(\frac{d}{q}\right)$ .

**Proposition 7.3.4** (decoding to list-decoding). *Let  $d \leq d'$ . Let  $f : \mathbb{F}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  be a function. Suppose  $f$  satisfies the low-degree test theorem, i.e., there exists some  $\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that for every planes oracle  $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{S}_2^m \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_d^m$ , we have*

$$\Pr[\mathcal{A}(s)(x) = f(x)] \geq \gamma \implies \exists Q \in \mathcal{P}_{d'}^m, \Pr[f(x) = Q(x)] \geq \alpha(\gamma).$$

Then,  $f$  also satisfies the list-decoding version. In other words, there exists  $\varepsilon_0 = \text{poly}(d/q)$  such that for all  $\delta > \varepsilon_0$  and  $\delta' = \alpha(\delta - \varepsilon_0) - \varepsilon_0$  such that for every planes oracle  $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{S}_2^m \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_d^m$  there exists a list of  $t \leq 2/\delta'$  polynomials  $Q^1, \dots, Q^t : \mathbb{F}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  of degree  $d'$  such that

$$\Pr_{s \in \mathcal{S}_2^m, x \in s} \left[ \mathcal{A}(s)(x) = f(x) \text{ and } \nexists i \in [t], Q^i|_s \equiv \mathcal{A}(s) \right] \leq \delta.$$

---

<sup>4</sup>This lemma is Problem 4 in the 2nd problem set. For completeness, we present a proof in the appendix.

## 7.4 What we will prove in lecture

In the rest of today's and next lecture, we will prove the above theorem (ie., [Theorem 7.2.2](#) and its equivalent list-decoding version [Theorem 7.3.2](#)) for the case when  $m = 3$ . In other words, we will prove the soundness of the plane-point test for planes in a cube. Observe that planes are  $2=(3-1)$ -dimensional affine subspaces in  $\mathbb{F}^3$ . One can then check that the argument for planes-point test soundness actually generalizes to any  $((m - 1)$ -dimensional affine subspace point test in  $\mathbb{F}^m$  giving us the following theorem.

**Theorem 7.4.1.** *There exists  $\varepsilon_0 = \text{poly}\left(\frac{md}{|\mathbb{F}|}\right)$  such that for all functions  $f : \mathbb{F}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ , if there exists a  $(m - 1)$ -dimensional affine space oracle  $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{S}_{m-1}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_d^{m-1}$  for which*

$$\Pr_{s \in \mathcal{S}_{m-1}^m, x \in s} [\mathcal{A}(s)(x) = f(x)] \geq \delta$$

*then there exists a degree  $d$  polynomial  $Q$  such that  $\Pr_{x \in \mathbb{F}^m} [Q(x) = f(x)] \geq \delta - \varepsilon_0$ . Or equivalently*

$$\mathbb{E}_{s \in \mathcal{S}_{m-1}^m} [\text{agr}(f|_s, \mathcal{P}_d^{m-1})] \geq \delta \implies \text{agr}(f, \mathcal{P}_d^m) \geq \delta - \varepsilon_0.$$

[Theorem 7.2.2](#) follows from [Theorem 7.4.1](#) by the following bootstrapping argument.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{agr}(f, \mathcal{P}_d^m) &\geq \mathbb{E}_{s_1 \in \mathcal{S}_{m-1}^m} [\text{agr}(f|_{s_1}, \mathcal{P}_d^{m-1})] - \varepsilon_0 \\ &\geq \mathbb{E}_{s_1 \in \mathcal{S}_{m-1}^m} \left[ \mathbb{E}_{s_2 \in \mathcal{S}_{m-2}^m} [\text{agr}((f|_{s_1})|_{s_2}, \mathcal{P}_d^{m-2})] - \varepsilon_0 \right] - \varepsilon_0 \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{s_1 \in \mathcal{S}_{m-1}^m} \left[ \mathbb{E}_{s_2 \in \mathcal{S}_{m-2}^m} [\text{agr}(f|_{s_2}, \mathcal{P}_d^{m-2})] - \varepsilon_0 \right] - \varepsilon_0 \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\geq \mathbb{E}_{s_1 \in \mathcal{S}_{m-1}^m} \left[ \mathbb{E}_{s_2 \in \mathcal{S}_{m-2}^m} \left[ \dots \mathbb{E}_{s_{m-2} \in \mathcal{S}_2^m} [\text{agr}(f|_{s_{m-2}}, \mathcal{P}_d^2)] \right] \right] - (m-2)\varepsilon_0 \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{s \in \mathcal{S}_2^m} [\text{agr}(f|_s, \mathcal{P}_d^2)] - (m-2)\varepsilon_0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it suffices to prove the soundness of the  $(m - 1)$ -dimensional space point test to prove the soundness of the plane-point test. We will assume that  $m = 3$  for the rest of the lecture. It can be checked that the same proof generalizes to larger  $m$ . Even for  $m = 3$ , we will only be able to prove a weaker version of [Theorem 7.4.1](#) in these two lectures. The polynomial  $Q$  that we will come up with will have the agreement  $\delta^2 - \varepsilon_0$  instead of  $\delta - \varepsilon_0$ <sup>5</sup>. More precisely, we will prove the following theorem next week.

---

<sup>5</sup>For details on how to get around this (i.e.  $\delta^2 \rightarrow \delta$ ), see Appendix of the next lecture.

**Theorem 7.4.2.** *There exists  $\varepsilon_0 = \text{poly}\left(\frac{d}{|\mathbb{F}|}\right)$  such that for all functions  $f : \mathbb{F}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ , if there exists a planes oracle  $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{S}_2^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_d^2$  for which*

$$\Pr_{s \in \mathcal{S}_2^3, x \in s} [\mathcal{A}(s)(x) = f(x)] \geq \delta$$

*then there exists a degree  $d$  polynomial  $Q$  such that  $\Pr_{x \in \mathbb{F}^m} [Q(x) = f(x)] \geq \delta^2 - \varepsilon_0$ .*

## References

- [ALM<sup>+</sup>98] SANJEEV ARORA, CARSTEN LUND, RAJEEV MOTWANI, MADHU SUDAN, and MARIO SZEGEDY. *Proof verification and the hardness of approximation problems*. J. ACM, 45(3):501–555, May 1998. (Preliminary Version in *33rd FOCS*, 1992). [eccc:TR98-008](#), [doi:10.1145/278298.278306](#).
- [AS98] SANJEEV ARORA and SHMUEL SAFRA. *Probabilistic checking of proofs: A new characterization of NP*. J. ACM, 45(1):70–122, January 1998. (Preliminary Version in *33rd FOCS*, 1992). [doi:10.1145/273865.273901](#).
- [AS03] SANJEEV ARORA and MADHU SUDAN. *Improved low-degree testing and its applications*. Combinatorica, 23(3):365–426, 2003. (Preliminary Version in *29th STOC*, 1997). [eccc:TR97-003](#), [doi:10.1007/s00493-003-0025-0](#).
- [BFL91] LÁSZLÓ BABAI, LANCE FORTNOW, and CARSTEN LUND. *Non-deterministic exponential time has two-prover interactive protocols*. Comput. Complexity, 1(1):3–40, 1991. (Preliminary Version in *31st FOCS*, 1990). [doi:10.1007/BF01200056](#).
- [GLR<sup>+</sup>91] PETER GEMMELL, RICHARD J. LIPTON, RONITT RUBINFELD, MADHU SUDAN, and AVI WIGDERSON. *Self-testing/correcting for polynomials and for approximate functions*. In *Proc. 23rd ACM Symp. on Theory of Computing (STOC)*, pages 32–42. 1991. [doi:10.1145/103418.103429](#).
- [MR08] DANA MOSHKOVITZ and RAN RAZ. *Sub-constant error low degree test of almost-linear size*. SIAM J. Computing, 38(1):140–180, 2008. (Preliminary Version in *38th STOC*, 2006). [eccc:TR05-086](#), [doi:10.1137/060656838](#).
- [PS94] ALEXANDER POLISHCHUK and DANIEL A. SPIELMAN. *Nearly-linear size holographic proofs*. In *Proc. 26th ACM Symp. on Theory of Computing (STOC)*, pages 194–203. 1994. [doi:10.1145/195058.195132](#).
- [RS96] RONITT RUBINFELD and MADHU SUDAN. *Robust characterizations of polynomials with applications to program testing*. SIAM J. Computing, 25(2):252–271, April 1996. (Preliminary Version in *23rd STOC*, 1991 and *3rd SODA*, 1992). [doi:10.1137/S0097539793255151](#).
- [RS97] RAN RAZ and SHMUEL SAFRA. *A sub-constant error-probability low-degree test, and a sub-constant error-probability PCP characterization of NP*. In *Proc. 29th ACM Symp. on Theory of Computing (STOC)*, pages 475–484. 1997. [doi:10.1145/258533.258641](#).
- [Sud99] MADHU SUDAN. *6.893: Approximability of optimization problems*, 1999. (A course on Approximability of Optimization Problems at MIT, Fall 1999).



## A Proof of Lemma 7.3.1

**Lemma 7.3.1 (Restated)** Suppose  $\delta \geq 2\sqrt{\frac{d}{q}}$ . Let  $f : \mathbb{F}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  and let  $P_1, \dots, P_t : \mathbb{F}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  be all the degree  $d$  polynomials that have agreement at least  $\delta$  then  $t \leq 2/\delta$ .

*Proof of Lemma 7.3.1.* Let  $A_i$  be  $\{x \mid f(x) = P_i(x)\}$ . We have that for each  $i \in [t]$   $|A_i| \geq \delta q^m$ . Any two distinct degree  $d$  polynomials can agree on at most  $\frac{d}{q}$  fraction of points by Schwartz-Zippel, i.e.  $|A_i \cap A_j| \leq \frac{d}{q} q^m$  for all  $i \neq j$  and  $i, j \in [t]$ .

$$\cup_i A_i \subseteq \mathbb{F}^m$$

by inclusion-exclusion:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i |A_i| - \sum_{i \neq j} |A_i \cap A_j| &\leq q^m \\ t\delta q^m - \binom{t}{2} \frac{d}{q} q^m &\leq q^m \end{aligned}$$

Assume for the sake of contradiction that  $t = \frac{2}{\delta} + \varepsilon$ . Therefore,  $t\delta q^m$  is at least  $2q^m$ . Also,  $\binom{t}{2} \frac{d}{q} q^m$  is at most  $q^m$  as long as  $\delta \geq 2\sqrt{\frac{d}{q}}$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$