Algorithmic Game Theory

Assignment 5: due May 5, 2025

Assignment policies:

- 1. While you may discuss the problems with others, you must write up the solution by yourself, in your own words.
- 2. Please write in your submission the people with whom you discussed the problems, as well as any references you used.
- 3. Late submissions will not be accepted, unless agreed to by me **prior** to the last date for submission.
- 4. Please write clearly and legibly, and include how you arrived at the solution!

Question 1 [10]: Consider the sponsored search auction we discussed earlier, in the Bayesian setting: there are k advertisement slots, and each slot j has a (public) click-through rate (CTR) α_j . Each bidder's valuation is drawn iid from a known regular distribution F. Bidder i gets utility $v_i \alpha_j$ minus payments if it is allocated slot j. Describe the revenue-optimal auction, including payments, for this setting.

Question 2 [10]: Show that, in the Bayesian single-item single-parameter case, the revenue of Vickrey's second-price auction with n bidders is at least (n-1)/n times that of the optimal auction.

Question 3 [10]: Consider the Bayesian single-parameter *public projects* environment, where the feasible allocation set $X = \{0^n, 1^n\}$, that is, either every bidder is allocated, or no bidder is (e.g., consider a public project as a park which everyone enjoys if it is built). Describe the revenue-optimal mechanism when bidder valuations are drawn iid from U[0, 1].

Question 4 [5]: The algorithms we analysed in the last couple of lectures were all DSIC, had more than 2 outcomes, were non-dictatorial, and operated without payments. However, the Gibbard-Satterthwaite theorem we studied earlier stated that any mechanism that is onto a set of at least 3 outcomes and is DSIC, must be a dictatorship. How do we resolve the two statements? That is, what conditions of the G-S theorem do our mechanisms not satisfy, in order to avoid the impossibility result?

Question 5 [10]: Consider the following variant of what is known as the house allocation problem: There are n agents and n houses, which are unassigned initially. Each agent must be allocated a house, and has an ordered preference list (possibly with indifference) over the houses. An allocation A of houses to agents is said to be *Pareto-optimal* if every allocation A' that improves the allocation to an agent, also makes some agent worse off. Design a mechanism that is DSIC and produces an allocation that is Pareto-optimal. Note that the preferences may be weak, i.e., an agent may be indifferent between multiple houses. **Question 6** [10]: A finite *n*-player game is called an *identical interest game* if for any strategy profile $s = (s_1, \ldots, s_n)$, all players have the same utility (i.e., $u_i(s) = u_j(s)$ for all agents i, j). Show that an appropriately-defined best-response dynamics always converges to a PNE in identical interest games. Give your definition of best-response dynamics for which you obtain convergence.

Question 7 [10]: Recall the network congestion games defined earlier. Similarly, we can define bottleneck congestion games as follows. We are given a directed graph G = (V, E), and n players, so that each player i has a source s_i and a sink t_i . The strategy set S_i for player i is the set of all s_i - t_i paths. Each edge additionally has a strictly increasing cost function $c_e : [n] \to \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Given a strategy profile $s = (s_1, \ldots, s_n)$, the cost to player *i* is $\max_{e \in s_i} c_e(n_e(s))$, where $n_e(s) := |\{i : e \in s_i\}$ is the number of players using edge *e*. That is, player *i* incurs the cost of the highest-cost edge used by the player.

Show that for a bottleneck congestion game there always exists a PNE.

Question 8 [10] Show that if all players have the same source s and the same sink t, a PNE in bottleneck congestion games can be computed in polynomial time (you may need to look up the literature on min-cost flows for this question).